UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,266	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	WAL12US	1038
24011 SILVERBROO	7590 08/27/2007 OK RESEARCH PTY LTD		EXAMINER	
393 DARLING STREET			NGUYEN, LAM S	
BALMAIN, 20 AUSTRALIA)41		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/760,266	SILVERBROOK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		LAM S. NGUYEN	2853	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet wi		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING OF THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a): In no event, however, may a reveil apply and will expire SIX (6) MON . cause the application to become AF	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status				,
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt		
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,6,8-12,14-30,32-36 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-5,7,13,31,37-41 and 49 is/are rejectation(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/orion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are:	and 42-48 is/are withdrawected. r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	bjected to by the Examiner.	
11)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
		·		
2) Notice (3) Information	ct(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) c)/Mail Date oformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimers filed on 06/04/2007 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US patents indicated in the terminal disclaimers has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 3-4, 7, 13, 31, 37-38, 41, 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin (US 2002/0171692 A1) in view of Nozawa (US 5701147).

Regarding to claims 1, 31, 37-38, 41, 49:

Martin discloses a method/apparatus for printing wallpaper onto a continuous web of media (FIG. 2, element 27), comprising the steps of:

utilizing an on-demand printer comprising a cabinet/frame (FIG. 2, element 18) in which is located a media path which extends from a media loading area (FIG. 2, element 24) to a winding area/dispensing slot (FIG. 2, element 26), there being a printhead (FIG. 2, element 20) located across the media path, there being a processor (FIG. 2, element 38) which accepts

Art Unit: 2853

operator inputs from one or more input devices (FIG. 2, element 32) and which controls the printer;

using one or more input devices which communicate with the processor to capture data from an operator regarding a specification; running the printer according to the data; printing a single wallpaper product (*FIG. 1, element 10*) on the continuous media web, on demand, according to a selected pattern and configuration (*paragraphs [00097-[00107*)).

changing the pattern according to a new datum from an operator and then printing a new wallpaper product onto the same continuous media web (paragraph [0010]: A user loads a blank roll of wallpaper in the printer and inputs one or more personal images (datum) that is/are printed on the blank roll. In case of more than one personal images are inputted, there are more than one wallpaper products associated with the inputted personal images (datum) are printed on the same loaded blank roll of wallpaper (continuous media web)).

• Martin also is silent wherein the printhead is full width.

Nozawa discloses a printing apparatus comprising full width printheads (FIG. 9, element 204) for forming images across a moving printing medium (FIG. 9, element 203).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Martin's printhead to be full width printhead as disclosed by Nozawa. The motivation for doing so would have been to be able to print the entire width of the printing medium without scanning the printhead to gain printing speed as taught by Nozawa (column 1, lines 30-39; column 3, lines 57-62).

• Martin also discloses the following claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/760,266

Art Unit: 2853

Regarding to claim 3: storing to a storage device accessible to the processor and internal to the cabinet, a plurality of selectable files for describing the patterns for printing onto the media (FIG. 1-2, element 30).

Regarding to claims 4, 7: providing the printer with a video display for depicting the selected pattern or display information relating to a roll (FIG. 2, element 34).

Regarding to claim 13: the specification for an operator's requirements comprises a pattern and the configuration; the configuration being one or more parameters selected from the group comprising: roll length, a roll slitting arrangement, one or more modifications to the pattern, or a selection of media to be printed on (paragraph [0010]).

Regarding to claims 31, 37, 41: the winding area adapted to removably retain a core and wind into it, wallpaper produced by the printer (FIG. 2, element 26), wherein the length and design of the roll are determined by the operator inputs (paragraph 0010]).

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin (US 2002/0171692 A1) in view of Nozawa (US 5701147) applied to claim 1, and further in view of Stoffel et al. (US 6412990).

Martin, as modified, discloses the claimed invention as disccused above except using the video display as a touchscreen input device to capture operator preferences.

Stoffel et al. discloses an printing apparatus having a video display as a touchscreen (FIG. 15, element 42) input device to capture operator/customer preferences to allow the operator/customer to custom printing images by simply touching the viewing screen (column 8, lines 55-60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Marin's video display (as modified) as a touchscreen as disclosed by Stoffel et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow an operator/customer to custom printing images by simply touching the viewing screen as taught by Stoffel et al. (column 8, lines 55-60).

4. Claims 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin (US 2002/0171692 A1) in view of Nozawa (US 5701147) applied to claim 1, and further in view of Goldstein (US 2002/0069078 A1).

Martin, as modified, discloses the claimed invention as discussed above but is silent about charging a customer for the roll or obtaining/attempting to obtain a fee from a franchisee.

Goldstein discloses a system for creating custom wallpaper including a program to charge and obtain fee from customers ordered printed wallpaper rolls (FIG. 2, steps 208, 210, 212, 214).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Marin's apparatus (as modified) to include means for charging and obtaining fee from a customer as disclosed by Goldstein et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow an operator/customer to purchase created custom wallpaper as taught by Goldstein (paragraphs [0043]-[0046]).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The new rejection is made based on the previous cited prior art with new citations and new explanations.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/760,266

Art Unit: 2853

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAM S. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2151. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, STEPHEN D. MEIER can be reached on (571)272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/760,266

Art Unit: 2853

Page 7

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LAM SON NGUYEN